



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPASSIMENT OF COMMERCE United Sistes Patchi and Trademark Office Address of MMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/466,405	12/17/1999	FARRELL L. OSTLER	PHA23.891	1131
24738	7590 04/02/2004		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION			MEONSKE, TONIA L	
	LECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS ICKAY DRIVE, M/S-41SJ		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, C		•	2183	10
	•		DATE MAILED: 04/02/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	*	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\overline{X}
	09/466,405	OSTLER ET AL.	O ₄
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Tonia L Meonske	2183	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thin bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status	,		•
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)□ Th 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat		ts is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-29 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdu 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the left.	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	;
Attachment(s)	۸) <u> </u>	Summany (PTO 412)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/466,405

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Natarjan et al, US Patent 5,857,104.
- 3. The rejections are respectfully maintained and incorporated by reference as set forth in the last office action, paper number 10, mailed on October 22, 2003.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed January 21, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. On pages 8 and 9, Applicant argues in essence:
 - "The citation of "abstract, column 5, lines 9-62" is not specific enough for Applicant to ascertain exactly which element is present in the reference. Consequently, a case for anticipation under 102 has not been made."
 - However, examiner did not solely cite "abstract, column 5, lines 9-62" anywhere in the last office action, paper number 10, mailed out on October 22, 2003. It is unclear as to what limitation Applicant is requesting clarification. Therefore, Examiner is unable to address this argument. Therefore this argument is moot.
- 6. On pages 9 and 10, Applicant argues in essence:

Application/Control Number: 09/466,405

Art Unit: 2183

"Natarjan "pertains to...".
In contrast, Applicants' claimed invention addresses a need to ""."

However, according to MPEP 714.02

"The claims may be amended by canceling particular claims, by presenting new claims, or by rewriting particular claims as indicated in 37 CFR 1.121(c). The requirements of 37 CFR 1.111(b) must be complied with by pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims patentable over the references in presenting arguments in support of new claims and amendments."

Applicant has failed to point out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims patentable over the references. Therefore the response is not fully responsive.

Furthermore, according to MPEP 714.04

"An amendment failing to point out the patentable novelty which the applicant believes the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made may be held to be not fully responsive and a time period set to furnish a proper reply if the statutory period has expired or almost expired (MPEP § 714.03). However, if the claims as amended are clearly open to rejection on grounds of record, a final rejection should generally be made."

In this case, the claims are clearly open to rejection on grounds of record. Therefore, a final rejection is made.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 13, 15, 19, 24, 27 and 28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if 112 objections to the claim are corrected and the claims are rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/466,405

Art Unit: 2183

- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia L Meonske whose telephone number is (703) 305-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-4:30.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie P Chan can be reached on (703) 305-9712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/466,405

Art Unit: 2183

Page 5

12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

RICHARD L. ELLIS